

OBJECTIVE:
To understand the current service delivery model for waste management in Hertfordshire in the context of current and future challenges.

- CONTEXT:**
- Examination of current statutory functions and how these are divided across both tiers of local government.
 - Assess current performance levels against existing national targets as well as likely future ones.
 - Identifying current pressures

- QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED:**
1. How successfully do partners work together in the management of waste?
 2. What are the challenges to more effective working within the HWP?
 3. How is performance of individual authorities monitored and managed?
 4. How is strategic direction translated into local authority action?
 5. How have cost pressures changed?
 6. What changes have taken place since the 2014 peer review?

OUTCOME/S:
Identifying improved more efficient, cost effective and consistent service levels.

CONSTRAINTS:
The scrutiny process will need to consider how best to accommodate evidence from the waste collection authorities.

WITNESSES i.e. individuals	EVIDENCE i.e. organisations e.g. HCS
Simon Aries Assistant Director – Transport, Waste and Environmental Management	Hertfordshire Waste Partnership
Duncan Jones Waste Partnership Development Manager	District/boroughs
Richard Thake Executive Member Community Safety & Waste Management	Industry rep
	LGA rep
	Environmental services

METHOD: 2 day Topic Group **DATES:** 4 & 9 Nov 2016

MEMBERSHIP: Michael Muir, Seamus Quilty, Richard Smith (chairman) LD member, LAB member

SUPPORT:
Scrutiny Officer: Natalie Rotherham
Lead Officers: Simon Aries, Duncan Jones
Democratic Services Officer: Nicola Cahill

SCRUTINY REMIT: HERTS WASTE PARTNERSHIP (HWP)
TOPIC GROUP

HCC Priorities for Action: how this item helps deliver the Priorities *delete as appropriate*

1. Opportunity To Thrive ✓
2. Opportunity To Prosper ✓
3. Opportunity To Be Healthy And Safe ✓
4. Opportunity To Take Part ✓

CfPS ACCOUNTABILITY OBJECTIVES: *delete as appropriate*

1. Transparent – opening up data, information and governance ✓
2. Inclusive – listening, understanding and changing ✓
3. Accountable – demonstrating credibility ✓